

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/660,060	09/10/2003	Joseph E. Kaminkow	0112300-1423	7281	
29159 K&L Gates LI	7590 04/10/200 P	9	EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 1135	5		RADA, ALEX P		
CHICAGO, IL 60690			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3714		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/10/2009	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

chicago.patents@klgates.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/660,060	KAMINKOW ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
ALEX P. RADA	3714		

	ALEX P. RADA	3714	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 20 March 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must limely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) A The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date whave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of a control of the state	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount nortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed will AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
	Andreas Headate affice a bring		
<ol> <li>\( \)\) The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b.         (a)\( \)\) They raise new issues that would require further one)         (b)\( \)\) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c)\( \)\) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or</li> </ol>	sideration and/or search (see NO7 v); er form for appeal by materially red	ΓE below); ducing or simplifying the	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	4.0	areas and and	DTOL 004)
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>		mpliant Amenament (i	PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be alled non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. \( \bar{\times} \) for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \( \bar{\times} \) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed is: Claim(s) objected is: Claim(s) rejected: 1-8.11-13.16.18-25 and 29-47. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
See Continuation Sheet.			
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (language of the content of the con	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Peter D. Vo/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3714			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant contends that the prior art does not disclose the additional second award being determined based on an outcome of a bonus event, the bonus event, expensive cocurring after a first generation by each of the random symbol generators and before a second genera by by each of the random symbol generators. The examiner respectfully disagrees. The reference to Simunek was cited to teach a bonus event wherein a player selects a predetermined number of spot and the selected spots are matched by one of the randomly generated spot. If the player "super spot" is selected by one of the randomly generated spot shan a bonus event occurs. A multiplier is randomly generated and used to multiply the players ofter matched spot winning by the multiplier to determine a bonus pay off. The base reference of Faticiplia discloses that a player has a predetermined number of spins to play the game. By combining Faticiplia's predetermined number of spins after the first spin all additional second award being determined on an outcome of a bonus event to the base game as taught by Simunek, one of ordinary kill in the art would provide a user with an opportunity to increase the size of the payout outcome. Regardless upon where a bonus event occurs after the spin or after every individual spin of every real, column or section, the outcome will still be the same of providing a player with an opportunity to increase the size of the payout outcome.